- IUKHNO, E. K. & PORAI-KOSHITS, M. A. (1957). Soviet Phys.-Cryst. 2, 230.
- MCWEENY, R. (1951). Acta Cryst. 4, 513.
- NAKAHARA, A., SAITO, Y. & KUROYA, H. (1952). Bull. Chem. Soc., Japan, 25, 331.
- NAKATSU, K., SAITO, Y. & KUROYA, H. (1956). Bull. Chem. Soc., Japan, 29, 428.
- PAULING, L. (1960a). The Nature of the Chemical Bond, p. 168-169, 3rd Ed. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- PAULING, L. (1960b). The Nature of the Chemical Bond. p. 221-264, 3rd Ed. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- PORAI-KOSHITS, M. A., IUKHNO, E. K., ANTSYSHKINA, A. S. & DIKAREVA, E. (1957). Soviet Phys.-Cryst. 2, 366.
- PORAI-KOSHITS, M. A. & ANTSYSHKINA, A. S. (1958). Soviet Phys.-Cryst. 3, 694.

- PORAI-KOSHITS, M. A. & TISCHENKO, G. N. (1959). Soviet Phys.-Cryst. 4, 216.
- ROSENBOHM, E. (1919). Z. physik. Chem. 93, 693.
- SAITO, Y., TAKEUCHI, Y. & PEPINSKY, R. (1955). Z. Kristallogr. 106, 476.
- SIMMONS, G., KOMIYAMA, Y., STEWART, J. M. & LINGA-FELTER, E. C. (1961). Private communication from Dr STEWART.
- SWINK, L. N. & ATOJI, M. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 639.
- TAKEUCHI, Y. & SAITO, Y. (1957). Bull. Chem. Soc., Japan, **30**, 319.
- VIERVOLL, H. & ØGRIM, O. (1949). Acta Cryst. 2, 277.
- WERNER, A. (1899). Z. anorg. Chem. 21, 231.
- WILSON, A. J. C. (1942). Nature, London, 150, 152.
- ZHDANOV, G. S. & ZVONKOVA, Z. V. (1950). Zhur. fiz. Khim., U.S.S.R. 24, 1339.

Acta Cryst. (1963). 16, 758

Studies of some Carbon Compounds of the Transition Metals. IV. The Structure of Butadiene Irontricarbonyl

BY O. S. MILLS AND G. ROBINSON

Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Manchester 13, England

(Received 1 March 1962 and in revised form 13 November 1962)

The structure of the complex $C_4H_6Fe(CO)_8$, prepared from butadiene and iron pentacarbonyl, has been determined, at -40 °C, by three-dimensional Fourier methods and refined by leastsquares techniques. The compound crystallizes in the orthorhombic system, space group *Pnma*, with four molecules in a unit cell of dimensions $a = 11 \cdot 6$, $b = 11 \cdot 1$, $c = 6 \cdot 2$ Å. The molecule contains the butadiene group in the ciscid form π -bonded to the iron atom to which are also bonded the carbonyl groups in roughly trigonal arrangement. The Fe–C(butadiene) distances are 2.06 and 2.14 Å, whilst the average Fe–C(carbonyl) distance is 1.76 Å. The C–C distances are 1.46 and 1.45 Å and are in agreement with delocalization of the π -electrons.

Introduction

Although Rheilen, Gruhl, Hessling & Pfrengle (1930) first reported the preparation of butadiene irontricarbonyl, it has only recently been reinvestigated (Hallam & Pauson, 1958) and reformulated as a π -complex (II). The earlier structural proposal of Rheilen et al. (I) would yield a 34-electron configuration in conflict with the observed diamagnetism and remarkable chemical stability. More recently, Green, Pratt & Wilkinson (1959), mainly on the basis of nuclear magnetic resonance measurements, have considered in addition the structure (III). To determine the exact structural geometry in the hope of being able to infer the type of bonding possible within the molecule, a three-dimensional X-ray analysis was undertaken. A preliminary report of the structure has been published (Mills & Robinson, 1960).

Experimental

A sample of butadiene irontricarbonyl was kindly supplied by Prof. Pauson and approximately 1 cm



of the liquid was placed in a Lindemann glass tube (0.2 mm diameter). The sample was cooled by a stream of cold nitrogen whilst mounted inside the low-temperature equipment supplied with the Nonius

 Table 1. Final positional parameters and estimated standard deviations

	x/a	y/b	z/c	$\sigma(x)$	$\sigma(y)$	$\sigma(z)$
\mathbf{Fe}	0.0788	4	0.1000	0.002 Å	0 Å	0.002 Å
C(1)	-0.0434	ł	-0.0634	0.013	0	0.013
O(1)	-0.1271	ł	-0.1717	0.010	0	0.010
C(2)	0.1630	0.1345	-0.0194	0.008	0.012	0.008
O(2)	0.2144	0.0585	-0.0928	0.007	0.011	0.007
C(4)	0.0012	0.1226	0.3154	0.011	0.016	0.009
C(5)	0.0997	0.1848	0.4082	0.010	0.011	0.008

Weissenberg goniometer. A single crystal was eventually grown in the tip and subsequently maintained at -40 °C throughout the analysis. Oscillation and Weissenberg photographs, taken with Co $K\alpha$ radiation, yielded the following data.

Butadiene irontricarbonyl C₄H₆Fe(CO)₃, m.p. 19 °C. Formula weight 193.97. Orthorhombic

 $a = 11.6, b = 11.1, c = 6.2 \text{ Å}, all \pm 0.1 \text{ Å}.$

 D_m (in aq. zinc chloride at 0 °C) ca. 1.5 g.cm⁻³. D_c (at -40 °C) 1.61 g.cm⁻³.

Number of molecules per unit cell, Z=4.

Systematic absences:

hk0 absent when h=2n+1 and

0kl absent when k+l=2n+1.

Possible space groups Pnma and $Pn2_1a$. Linear absorption coefficient: $\mu = 40$ cm⁻¹.

Three-dimensional intensity data were collected by the equi-inclination technique. Because of the difficulty of growing crystals in other orientations, only those levels perpendicular to the *b* axis were collected (h0l to h5l) and these were estimated visually by the multiple-film method. In this way 390 reflexions were included of which 21 were too weak to be measured. The data were processed and put on an approximately absolute scale with the programme written for the Mercury computer by Dr J. Bland (Mills & Rollett, 1961).

Solution of the structure

A two-dimensional Patterson synthesis projected down the b axis gave the (x, z) iron positions and an electrondensity projection was calculated with phases based on this atom alone. The molecule was not recognized in this projection, because of the considerable overlap which occurs near the origin, and so we turned directly to the three-dimensional data.

The space group *Pnma* requires the molecule to have mirror symmetry, and so the Fe atoms must lie in the planes $y = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}$; if the space group is $Pn2_1a$, the y coordinate of one atom is arbitrary and we can set that of the Fe atom to $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}$. The coordinates of the iron atom are thus completely determined by the (010) projection.

The first three-dimensional electron-density synthesis was based on phases from the iron atoms alone. This synthesis thus contained mirror planes at y = $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}$ irrespective of the true space group. The trigonal arrangement of CO groups was clearly recognizable with one CO group in the mirror plane. There was no evidence of two 'half sets' of tricarbonyl groups and we conclude that the true space group is Pnmaand subsequent calculations were based upon this symmetry. A second synthesis, with phases based upon the $Fe(CO)_3$ group, was calculated from those reflexions for which $|F_c| > \frac{1}{3}$ th of the maximum iron contribution for the reflexion. The whole molecule was revealed at this stage and the agreement index $R = \Sigma ||F_o| - F_c|| / \Sigma |F_o|$ for non-zero intensities was 29%. The successive levels were scaled onto the trial structure and after two difference syntheses R was 21.9%.

The refinement was completed by least-squares methods. The scattering factors used were those of Berghuis et al. (1955) for carbon and oxygen and that of Freeman & Wood (1959) for iron. An allowance was made for the anomalous scattering by iron, due to the proximity of the absorption edge to the wavelength used, by subtracting 3.8 electrons over the whole range before application of the temperature factor. The refinement was carried out on the Mercury computer with the SFLS programme written by Dr J. S. Rollett (Mills & Rollett, 1961). When the shifts were negligibly small, the R factor for all non-zero reflexions was 7.7%. Estimated standard deviations of the positional parameters were obtained from the diagonal elements of the inverted 3×3 matrices used to obtain the final (negligible) shifts. From these the average standard deviations were found to be 0.002 Å for Fe, 0.009 Å for oxygen and 0.011 Å for carbon. The final positional parameters, together with standard deviations, are given in Table 1 and thermal

Table 2.	Thermal exponent coefficients
Allowance was	made for anisotropic thermal motion by the expression

 $2^{-10^{-4}(b_{11}h^2+b_{22}k^2+b_{23}l^2+b_{12}hk+b_{13}hl+b_{23}kl)}$

for the thermal exponent

	b_{11}	b_{22}	b_{33}	b_{12}	b_{13}	b_{23}
\mathbf{Fe}	48	77	152	-1	0	0
C(1)	74	234	220	-7	0	0
O(1)	96	391	379	-216	0	0
C(2)	93	52	276	-32	96	-128
O(2)	157	73	501	14	111	-98
C(4)	184	129	217	-4	- 173	122
C(5)	146	138	160	58	0	87

parameters in Table 2. The calculated reflexion 011 was always greater than that observed, and was omitted from the least-squares refinement on the

Table 3. Interatomic distances and angles

The bond-length errors listed are three standard deviations (excluding errors in the unit-cell parameters)

Fe-C(4), Fe-C(7)	2.14 ± 0.04 Å	Fe-C(1)-O(1)	179°
Fe-C(5), Fe-C(6)	$2 \cdot 06 \pm 0 \cdot 03$	Fe-C(2)-O(2)	178
Fe-C(2), Fe-C(3)	1.77 ± 0.03	Fe-C(4)-C(5)	67
Fe-C(1)	1.74 ± 0.04	Fe-C(5)-O(4)	73
C(4)-C(5), C(6)-C(7)	1.46 ± 0.05	C(4)-C(5)-C(7)	118
C(5)-C(6)	1.45 ± 0.06	C(1)-Fe- $C(2)$	102
C(1)-O(1)	1.18 ± 0.05	C(2)-Fe- $C(3)$	93
C(2)-O(2), C(3)-O(3)	1.13 ± 0.04	C(2)-Fe- $C(4)$	91
C(2)-C(3)	$2 \cdot 56 \pm 0 \cdot 05$	C(4)-Fe- $C(7)$	83
C(3)-C(7)	$2 \cdot 80 \pm 0 \cdot 05$		
C(4) - C(7)	$2 \cdot 83 \pm 0 \cdot 05$		

The standard deviation of the difference between Fe-C(4) and Fe-C(5) is 0.016 Å.

supposition that this was due to extinction. The 'unobserved' reflexions were also omitted.

Bond lengths and angles were calculated with the programme written by Dr R. A. Sparks and are shown in Table 3.

Discussion of the structure

The structure (Fig. 1) is essentially that suggested by Hallam & Pauson with the butadiene residue in a cisoid arrangement. The space group implies that the molecule has *m*-symmetry: the mirror plane passes through the atoms O(1), C(1) and Fe, and bisects the C(5)-C(6) bond, which requires the atoms C(4), C(5), C(6) and C(7) of the butadiene residue to be strictly coplanar. The trigonal axis of the carbonyl groups makes an angle of 61° with this plane. The iron-carbon distances (2·14 and 2·06 Å; Fe-C(4)-C(5) 67° and Fe-C(5)-C(4) 73°) seem to exclude the possibility of

Table 4. Observed and calculated structure factors These are arranged in the order $k, h, l, 10|F_o|, 10|F_c|$

•k	h																	
נ	10)Fo)	IOFC	1	IOIFOI	IOLC	1	101F01	IOFC	1	10 Fo	IOFC	1	10 Fo	10Fc	1	1011	Fol	10
•0	•		0	171	160	2	135	-147	4	24	19	2	40	-43	3	11	70	170
2	560	586	I	0	14	3	20	-21	-2	11	_	3	120	117	_4	i n'		-
4	490	-495	2	. 17	70	•1	12		1	67	-74	4	108	109	1		10	72
•0	1 410	-102		675	-160	0	160	- 44	2	100	-101	• • • •	11	-121	.	1 12	60	
ī	567	722	3	445	-428	2	202	-102	•2	12	-9	2	140	142			20	30
2	167	143	5	60	59	•2	۰ °	•	0	140	-152	3	75	77	I		53	44
3	132	99	· r	I		2	209	-188	I	45	-38	- 3	12		- 5	; 0		
4	197	171	I	404	-392	4	366	344	2	59	-63	0	0	-12	1	15	So	12
2	231	-247	2	150	00	6	189	188	• 3	0 060	06 F	1	100	102	3		40 •	-150
-0	2	29	3	216	-120	1	1 402	-532	3	600	749	2	135	127	5	; 1 [;]	55	-5
0	497	452	5	IOI	91	2	557	573	5	74	74	4	379	-382	ĩ	20	95 -	-28
I	622	-678	6	173	176	3	180	170	3	I		0	247	-263	2	40	- 90	-40
2	305	-234	•1	2		4	125	99	Ĩ	407	-372	:4	1		3	1	52	15
4	180	-168	0	903 626	-972	5	191	173	2	514	407	1	350	-354	4	2	46 -	26
ŝ	46	49	2	556	-520	•2	2 '3	-02	4	112	90	3	370	-377	6	- 7	62	- 80
6	82	-68	3	349	-329	0	818	-929	Ś	95	-91	4	108	-96	•	52		
<u>*</u>	3		4	272	261	I	682	750	6	95	-87	5	218	-190	0	20	05 -	-20
2	531	-530	5	50	52	2	325	-285	•3	2 =		•	~ °	25	1	1		-14
3	168	132	• 1	3 201	210	3	305	264	1	240	-203	-4	260	266	3	2	82 .	-28
4	204	-205	r	119	-68	3	305	- 3	2	82	69	ĩ	410	-414	4	2	56	25
5	192	-191	2	179	-158	6	179	166	3	77	- U 3	2	324	322	5		0	-
	93	82	3	56	43	*2	3		4	202	-200	3	367	-374	6		29	14
0	4 286	-284	4	124	-102	I	271	241	2	785	~ 32	4	109	-89		, ,	78.	- 78
ĩ	645	-666	3	10	31	3	403	-58	• 3	3	-194	6	121	-127	2	2	00 4	-18
2	228	-189	•1	4		4	82	78	I	502	505	•4	3		3		97	7
3	694	-741	0	336	-344	5	115	110	2	40	30	r	269	299	4	1:	24	10
4	105	06	I	35	-16	6	78	-66	3	105	-149	2	510	-505	5	2	08	22
3	60	75	3	415	380	-2	4 650	683	4	287	-301	3	210	-105	•	[.]	41	5
•0	5	5	4	326	364	ĩ	282	283	ថ័	0	4	5	97	103	0	໌ ີ 3:	21 .	-28
I	29	21	5	91	94	2	63	49	•3	4		6	97	88	1	1	87	18.
2	205	-198	6	200	174	3	397	387	0	741	789	:4	4		2	I	30 .	-10
4	232	-235	1	5 175	-152	4	214	-100	2	295	200	0 X	322	-332 -287	3	2	13 82	20
\$	27	-17	2	331	344	6	87	-83	3	124	-101	2	222	205	5		33	3
6	14	-4	3	272	267	•2	5		4	258	-279	3	348	-347	•	55		
••	280	- 26-2	4	122	117	I	399	397	5	50	-43	4	183	171	I	3	54 .	-34
ī	226	203	2	50	-14	2	344	330	•1	= 120	-135	2	21	-10	2	3	50	30
2	145	-127	•1	6 39		4	224	220	ĩ	216	235	•4	۶Č	•	4	2	33 42	24
3	70	66	0	146	-118	ŝ	161	-158	2	34	-32	ľ	274	-275	5	_	<u>,</u>	- 9
4	348	355	I	275	202	6	<u>,</u> 45	-26	3	108	-85	2	230	-221	•	56		
ž	45	-40	2	130	107	2	0		4	102	-101	3	30	- 9	0	2	79 .	-28
-0	7	2.0		444	401	1	24	16	5	88	105	4	130	170	1 2	2	17	-1
τ	389	- 388	5	46	45	2	133	119	• 3	6		•4	6	,	3	I	93	17
2	74	53	6	40	-45	3	208	175	0	121	-101	0	589	-622	4	1	ó4 –	9
3	192	170	•1	7	4	4	240	-238	I	364	-370	I	32	14	5	'	ს7	-6
2	220	-39	1	220		2		-(-	-	121	-100	2	190	-152		<u>،</u> د		
5	8 220	444	2	332	-12	0	- 117	-107	3	341	-345	3	10	-78	1	2	20	21
്	181	-170	4	44	43	1	150	170	3	33	32	4	45	-34	3	-	66	-14
I	1 32	121	5	94	-85	2	51	-38	• 3	7		-4	7 75		4		80	- 6
2	225	-209	1	8		3	36	-10	I	136	-133	I	320	-318	Ś		51	-4
3	104	100	0	391	389	4	54	45	2	405	-400	2	93	98		58	-	
4	95	95	2	150	143	5	8 155	-155	3	157	-164	3	03	-79	0	3	72	37
÷.	9		3	158	131	·	185	170		60	01	ŝ	102	185	2	Î	86	18
1	171	-174	4	251	-254	1	255	-244	• 3	8		•4	8		3	I	26 .	-11
2	141	130	5	35	-51	2	128	118	0	298	-294	0	248	-258	4	I.	02	-8
3	59	-51	•1	9		3	250	-242	1	240	-232	I	117	102	•	59	o-	- (
4 •0	10 00	00	I	255	209	4	~ ~ ~	-80	2	140	-124	2	58	-40	I	2	5I	20
ິ	113	104	2 3	123	-104	5	ں م م	+10		100	83	3	140	128	2 1		90	-
I	119	118	4	34	-34	ĩ	185	178	5	41	48	-4	9		4		49	5
2	65	-55	÷1	10 '	÷	2	189	-188	•3	9		I	38	28	7	5 10	.,	5
3	226	206	0	325	358	3	0	21	I	248	-250	2	152	157	0	I.	45	12
4	87	-90	I	110	-103	4	108	-117	2	20	3	3	0 87	13	I		17	2
ĩ	· •	17	2 3	133	-120	•2		-15	4	18	-5	4	10 01	03	2		36	
2	163	165	4	99	-120	1	90	-85	73	IO	5	ឹ	50	49	•	5 11		- 1
3	39	ző	71	пŰ		2	6	10	0	302	-339	ĭ	113	- Qré	ı		39	з
•0	12		I	204	196	з	150	-147	I	02	45	2	78	69	2	I	7í -	-17



Fig. 1. Schematic representation of molecular geometry.

a simple σ -bond between the iron atom and any of the carbons, and the bonding is essentially that of a π -complex. The Fe atom is closer to the centres of the butadiene bonds than to the carbons themselves: Fe-(C4-C5) 1.97 Å and Fe-(C5-C6) 1.92 Å. The C-C bond lengths, 1.45 and 1.46 Å, are identical within the rather large experimental error, and we cannot be certain from these results that they are significantly shorter than a C-C single bond formed between two sp^2 hybridized atoms as in butadiene itself, where a value of 1.48 ± 0.01 Å has been determined (Almenningen, Bastiansen & Traetteberg, 1958). The lengths of those bonds, originally double in butadiene itself, have undoubtedly increased, and the values reported are consistent with complete delocalization of the π -electrons.

The coordination of the Fe atom can be described as square pyramidal, the base of the pyramid being formed by two of the carbons of the linear carbonyls and the terminal carbon atoms of the butadiene. The Fe atom is displaced 0.18 Å out of the basal plane in the direction of the third carbonyl group. This feature is similar to those found in Fe₂(COH)₂(CO)₆MeCCMe (Hock & Mills, 1961), and Co₂(CO)₉HCCH (Mills & Robinson, 1959). The mean Fe–C (carbonyl) distance of 1.76 Å is in good agreement with those found in related compounds, *e.g.* Fe₂(COH)₂(CO)₆MeCCMe, Fe₂(CO)₄(C₅H₅)₂ (Mills, 1958) and C₈H₈Fe₂(CO)₆ (Dickens & Lipscomb, 1961*a*).

The two Fe-C distances, 2.14 and 2.06 Å, are, on the basis of probability estimations (Cruickshank & Robertson, 1953), significantly different, and this same feature has since been observed in two cyclooctatetraene derivatives (Dickens & Lipscomb, 1961*a*, 1961b) and in two tropolone derivatives (Dodge & Schomaker, 1961; Dahl, 1961), where the same geometry of a four-carbon-atom conjugated doublebond system prevails although in these cases the terminal carbon atoms are now members of cyclic systems.

If the hydrogen atoms attached to the terminal carbons in the butadiene residue are not coplanar with the carbon atoms, but instead are arranged so that one is directed towards the adjacent carbon position in one of these cyclic systems, then the proton resonances reported by Green *et al.* are explained.

We wish to thank the Department of Scientific and Industrial Research for a grant towards X-ray apparatus, and the staff of the Computing Machine Laboratory for permission to use the Mercury computer. We are indebted to Drs J. A. Bland, J. S. Rollett and R. A. Sparks for the use of their computer programmes.

References

- ALMENNINGEN, A., BASTIANSEN, O. & TRAETTEBERG, M. (1958). Acta Chem. Scand. 12, 1223.
- BERGHUIS, J., HAANAPPEL, IJ. M., POTTERS, M., LOOP-STRA, B. O., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & VEENENDAAL, A.L. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 478.
- DAHL, L. F. (1961). Personal communication.
- DODGE, R. P. & SCHOMAKER, V. (1961). Personal communication.
- DICKENS, B. & LIPSCOMB, W. N. (1961a). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 83, 489.
- DICKENS, B. & LIPSCOMB, W. N. (1961b). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 83, 4862.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. & ROBERTSON, A. P. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 698.
- FREEMAN, A. J. & WOOD, J. H. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 271.
- GREEN, M. L. H., PRATT, L. & WILKINSON, G. (1959). J. Chem. Soc. p. 3753.
- HALLAM, B. F. & PAUSON, P. L. (1958). J. Chem. Soc. p. 642.
- HOCK, A. A. & MILLS, O. S. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 139.
- MILLS, O. S. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 620.
- MILLS, O. S. & ROBINSON, G. (1959). Proc. Chem. Soc. (London), p. 156.
- MILLS, O. S. & ROBINSON, G. (1960). Proc. Chem. Soc. (London), p. 421.
- MILLS, O. S. & ROLLETT, J. S. (1961). Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-ray Crystal Analysis. Pergamon Press.
- RHEILEN, H., GRUHL, A., v. HESSLING, G. & PFRENGLE, O. (1930). Liebigs Ann. 482, 161.